UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA WESTERN DIVISION

| GEORGE ZOURKAS, |)) Civil No. 5:20-cv-5069 |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Plaintiff |) Civil No |
| VS. | COMPLAINT |
| JASON AARON PETERS and KRULL FOODS OF HC, INC., |) |
| Defendants | ý |

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, by and through his attorney, Terence R. Quinn of The Quinn Law Firm, Rapid City, South Dakota, and for his causes of action against Defendants, states and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

- At all times material hereto Plaintiff George Zourkas (hereinafter "Plaintiff") was a resident and citizen of Illinois, residing in Niles, Cook County, Illinois.
- 2. At all times material hereto the Defendant Krull Foods of HC, Inc., (hereinafter "Defendant Krull") was a South Dakota company, registered with the South Dakota Secretary of State to do business in South Dakota. The principal place of business for Defendant Krull is 111 Ponderosa Ave., Hill City, South Dakota 57745.
- Upon information and belief, at all times material hereto the Defendant Jason Aaron
 Peters (hereinafter "Defendant Peters") was a resident and citizen of South Dakota,
 residing in Hill City, Pennington County, South Dakota.
- At all times material hereto, Defendant Peters was an employee and/or officer of Defendant Krull, and operating in his capacity as such.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This is an action for damages in excess of the Federal District Court's minimal jurisdictional limits of \$75,000.00 as set forth in 28 USC § 1332(a)(1).
- 6. This action is properly filed in Federal District Court due to diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and Defendants, as requires by 28 USC § 1332(a)(1).
- 7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 USC § 1391(a) because all or most of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claim occurred in this District.

FACTS

- 8. On or about the 9th day of August, 2018, both Plaintiff and Defendant Peters were operating motor vehicles at or near the intersection of US Highway 16 and Poplar St in the city of Hill City, Pennington County, South Dakota. Plaintiff was driving a 2007 Harley Davidson while Defendant Peters was driving a 2016 Ford 350 pickup owned by Defendant Krull.
- At or just prior to a crash between the named parties, Defendant Peters was traveling eastbound on US Highway 16 in Hill City, South Dakota, while Plaintiff was traveling westbound on US Highway 16.
- 10. As said vehicles approached the intersection of Poplar St. and US Highway 16, Defendant Peters negligently, carelessly, and recklessly executed a left hand turn into the path of Plaintiff's motorcycle causing said motorcycle to crash into the rear quarter of Defendants' pickup.
- 11. Due to the negligence, carelessness and reckless operation of Defendant Peters, Plaintiff suffered severe and permanent bodily injury and disfigurement. In addition to such

- injury, Plaintiff has has incurred and will continue to incur doctor, hospital, physical therapy, travel and numerous other costs and expenses all exceeding \$150,000.00.
- 12. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was operating his motorcycle in a careful and appropriate manner. When Defendant Peters turned in front of him, Plaintiff was forced to lay his motorcycle down causing it and his body to scrape along the cement roadway causing serious and permanent bodily injury.

Count I Negligence of Defendant Kirst

- 13. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the preceding twelve (12) paragraphs as if set forth fully.
- 14. Defendant Peters operated his vehicle in a negligent, careless, and unlawful manner, including but not limited to:
 - a. Careless driving;
 - b. Failing to keep a proper lookout;
 - c. Failing to yield the right-of-way; and
 - d. Defendant Peters was otherwise negligent.
- 15. As a result of the negligent acts of Defendant Peters, the vehicles collided, causing severe injuries to Plaintiff, who was at all times acting in accordance with state law.
- 16. Defendant Peters' negligent acts were the proximate cause of the collision of the two vehicles.
- 17. Defendant Peters' negligent acts were the legal cause of the collision of the two vehicles.

- 18. Similarly, Defendant Peters' acts as herein set forth were in direct violation of South Dakota law and Defendant Peters is therefore negligent as a matter of law. Such negligence is the direct and proximate cause of the damages suffered by Plaintiff.
- 19. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant Peters' negligence, Plaintiff has suffered mental and physical injury and pain; has suffered and will suffer for the remainder of his life a permanent impairment which restricts him from participating in the normal activities of life; has suffered diminished capacity to enjoy life; and has incurred medical expenses and will continue in the future to incur medical expenses.
- 20. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant Peters' negligence, Plaintiff has suffered a loss of past and future income.

Count II Negligence of Defendant Krull/Respondeat Superior

- 21. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the preceding twenty (20) paragraphs as if set forth fully.
- 22. Defendant Krull is vicariously liable for the acts of its employee/officer, Defendant Peters, taken within the course and scope of his employment.
- 23. At all times material herein, Defendant Peters was acting within the course and scope of his employment with Defendant Krull.
- 24. As part of his ordinary employment duties, Defendant Peters was driving on behalf of his employer.
- 25. Defendant Krull's vehicle, driven by Defendant Peters, collided with Plaintiff's vehicle, causing severe injuries to Plaintiff.
- 26. Plaintiff was at all times acting in accordance with state law.

- 27. On information and belief, the conduct of Defendant Peters was consistent with the type of work he was employed by Defendant Krull to perform.
- 28. The negligence of Defendant Peters occurred within the authorized time and space of his employment duties.
- 29. Defendant Peters' acts as herein set forth were in direct violation of South Dakota law and Defendants are therefore negligent as a matter of law.
- 30. Because Defendant Peters was working within the scope of his employment at the time of the accident, his negligence is imputable to Defendant Krull. Such negligence is the direct and proximate cause of the damages suffered by Plaintiff.
- 31. Defendant Krull is liable for the damages directly and proximately caused to Plaintiff by Defendant Peters' negligence. Plaintiff has suffered mental and physical injury and pain; has suffered and will suffer for the remainder of his life a permanent impairment which restricts him from participating in the normal activities of life; has suffered diminished capacity to enjoy life; and has incurred medical expenses and will continue in the future to incur medical expenses.
- 32. In addition, as a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff has suffered a loss of past and future income.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for judgment in his favor and against Defendants and the following relief:

 A. Plaintiff seeks an award in excess of this Court's minimum jurisdictional limits of \$75,000.00 exclusive of interest in costs;

- B. Compensatory damages, including but not limited to compensation for the costs of Plaintiff's past and future medical care, loss of future earnings, past and future pain, emotional distress and mental anguish caused by Defendants;
- C. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed; and
- D. Such other and further relief as this Court deems equitable.

Dated this 4 day of November, 2020.

THE QUINN LAW FIRM

BY:

Terence R. Quinn (1411) 3620 Homestead St. Rapid City, SD 57703

(605) 519-8623

Quinnlaw.tq@gmail.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

PLAINTIFF REQUESTS TRIAL BY JURY

Terence R. Quinn

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20) Case 5:20-cv-05069-JLV promotor Files 114/19/20 Page 7 of 7 PageID #: 14

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| purpose of initiating the civil do | ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC | CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE O | OF THIS FO | RM.) | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|----------|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | | DEFENDANTS | | | | | | | | |
| GEORGE ZOURKAS | | | | JASON AARON PETERS and KRULL FOODS OF HC, INC. | | | | | | |
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff COOK (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) | | | | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant PENNINGTON (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. | | | | | | |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A | Address, and Telephone Numbe | er) | | Attorneys (If Known) | | | | | | |
| | THE QUINN LAW ST., RAPID CITY, SI | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ш сп | TZENSHIP () | F DDIN | JCIDA | I DADTIES | (DI "V" : | O P 6 | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) | | | III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) | | | | | | | |
| 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) | | Citizer | of This State | PTF ☐ 1 | DEF X 1 | Incorporated or P of Business In | | PTF | DEF 4 |
| 2 U.S. Government Defendant | X 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh | Citizer | of Another State | x 2 | _ 2 | Incorporated and of Business In | | 5 | 5 | |
| | | | | or Subject of a eign Country | 3 | 3 Sometiment | | | | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | | | | RFEITURE/PENAL | | | for: Nature of S | _ | | |
| 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & Other: 9 FERSONAL INJU 366 Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical 167 Personal Injury Personal Injury Personal Injury Personal Injury Ase Injury 360 Other Personal Property Dama 385 Property Dama Product Liability PRISONER PETITI 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Otherer | | Y | 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 3 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application | | | peal 28 USC 158 hdrawal USC 157 RTY RIGHTS pyrights ent - Abbreviated v Drug Application demark end Trade Secrets of 2016 L. SECURITY L. (1395ff) ek Lung (923) WC/DIWW (405(g)) D. Title XVI L. (405(g)) AL TAX SUITS es (U.S. Plaintiff Defendant) —Third Party USC 7609 | 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes | | |
| A | moved from 3 | | 4 Reinst | 1 1 | ransferred | | 6 Multidistr | | Multidis | |
| Proceeding Sta | te Court | Appellate Court | Reope | | nother Dis pecify) | SITICI | Litigation Transfer | 1 - | Litigatio Direct F | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | ON 28 USC 1332(a)(1) Brief description of ca | atute under which you ar ause: FH DAMAGES IN EXCES | | o not cite jurisdiction | | unless di | versity): | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | <u> </u> | | DE | EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes \(\subseteq \text{No} \) | | | | | nt: | |
| VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY | | JUDGE | | | | | ET NUMBER | | | |
| DATE New 10, 2020 | | SIGNATURE OF ATT | TORNEY O | FRECORD | 00 | | | | | |
| Nov 19, 2020 | | | | -En | de Solution | - | | | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY DECEIDT # AM | MOUNT | A DDI VING IED | | ШМ | CE | | MAG III | IDGE | | |